

Distribution pricing methodology

Electricity Commission Forum 17 June 2009 Contact Energy presentation

Core issues

- Unnecessary complexity......
 - a. Needs to be trade-off between desire for economic purity and administrative burden
 - i. General connection summer/winter pricing
 - ii. General connection summer/winter/day/night loss factors
 - iii. Excess pricing/loss factor zones
- 2. Unpredictable input costs at ICP level.......
 - a. UFE related charges
 - WDM uses reconciled volumes
 - ii. RDM ICP quantities scaled to reconciled quantities
 - iii. Published loss factors not reflective of total losses trends
 - b. Profile assumptions & wash-ups
 - i. WDM General Connection c/kWh pricing
 - ii. WDM General Connection congestion period pricing
 - c. Non price charges
 - i. Transpower administration charges
 - ii. Remote signal service charges
 - d. Transparency problematic
 - e. Transfer of revenue risk



Core issues continued

- 3.WDM fails to reflect delivery service is to ICP not GXP
- 4. Controllable load incentive insufficient
 - a. weakened by WDM
 - i. mandatory hot water load control for emergencies only (Orion)
 - ii. hefty penalty for uncontrolled hot water (Alpine)
 - b. mandatory hot water controllable load (several distributors)
- 5. Capacity charges for domestic customers problematic for low user compliance
- 6. Pricing structure can be a problem for billing
 - a. Some power factor charges
- 7. Administrative burden
 - a. WDM reconciliation cycle invoice reversals/rebills
 - b. Advance estimated billing followed by wash-ups in arrears
 - c. CPD prices for small end customers



Optimal distribution pricing structure

- 1. ICP based (RDM)
- 2. All prices predictable and billable, no scaling certainty of cost & potential transparency by ICP
- 3. Single definition for domestic customer based on low user regulations
- 4. No capacity charges for domestic customers, impacts low user compliance
- 5. Avoid distinction between residential & non-domestic variable rates with same capacity except as required by regulation (low user option)
- 6. Accept a level of cross subsidy within network region unless material difference in costs, noting that regulated low user pricing already distorts cost-reflective pricing
- 7. If necessary limit geographic splits to urban/rural
- 8. General Connection pricing should reflect standard metering limitations until widespread deployment of AMI
- 9. Pricing signals to incentivise off peak (C, D/N), with sufficient differential to incentivise controllable load and load shifting rather than mandating controllable hot water
- 10. Avoid summer/winter pricing for General Connections
- 11. Small number of fixed charges based on capacity bands for > 15kVA
- 12. Avoid complex loss factors (S/W/D/N) for at least General Connections



© Contact Energy Limited | 18 June 2009 |

Other issues

- 1. Pricing schedule to be complete within itself notes to ensure clarity, price category and tariff codes
- 2. Most but not all distributors pass through loss rental rebates transparently
- 3. Vacant period line charges not directly recoverable, no customer
- 4. Requirement to disconnect to stop line charges, potential avoidable cost
- 5. Embedded network growth adding significant costs for retailers

