## Compliance plan for NZTA Central Otago QLDC RAMM dB DUML – 2021]

| Deriving submission information                                   |   |                 |                        |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Non-compliance  | Description   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Audit Ref: 2.1  | Approximately 30 lamps in Wanaka submitted against the incorrect ICP.   |                 |                        |  |  |
| With: Clause 11(1) of<br>Schedule 15.3                            | Approximately 30 lamps in Wanaka recorded in two databases and therefore submitted twice resulting in an estimated over submission of approximately 19,818 kWh per annum.       |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | The database is not confirmed as accurate with a 95% level of confidence potential over submission of approximately 11,800 kWh per annum.                                       |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Newly connected streetlights are included for the whole month and not the of electrical connection.  Potential impact: Medium   |                 |                        |  |  |
|   |   |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Actual impact: Medium   |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Audit history: None   |                 |                        |  |  |
| From: Unknown   | Controls: Moderate  |                 |                        |  |  |
| To: 20-Jan-21   | Breach risk rating: 4   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Audit risk rating   | Rationale for audit risk rating   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Medium  | The controls are rated as moderate as processes to manage change capture most changes.  The impact is assessed to be medium, based on the database inaccuracies detailed above. |                 |                        |  |  |
|   |   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue                                |   | Completion date | Remedial action status |  |  |
| We are investigating to see if the issue is valid or not.         |   | 01/06/2021      | Investigating          |  |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur |   | Completion date |                        |  |  |
| This will depend on what the investigation uncovers               |   | To be advised   |                        |  |  |

| Database accuracy   |   |                 |                        |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Non-compliance  | Description   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Audit Ref: 3.1 With: Clause 15.2 and                              | The database is not confirmed as accurate with a 95% level of confidence with a potential under submission of approximately 11,800 kWh per annum.                         |                 |                        |  |  |
| 15.37B(b)   | LED lights recorded with insufficient descriptions to confirm lamp wattage.   |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Approximately 30 lamps in Wanaka submitted against the incorrect ICP.   |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Approximately 30 lamps in Wanaka recorded in two databases and therefore submitted twice resulting in an estimated over submission of approximately 19,818 kWh per annum. |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Potential impact: Medium  |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Actual impact: Medium   |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Audit history: None   |                 |                        |  |  |
| From: Unknown   | Controls: Moderate  |                 |                        |  |  |
| To: 20-Jan-21   | Breach risk rating: 4   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Audit risk rating   | Rationale for audit risk rating   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Medium  | The controls are rated as moderate as controls will mitigate risk most of the time.  The impact is assessed to be medium, based on the kWh differences described above.   |                 |                        |  |  |
|   |   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue                                |   | Completion date | Remedial action status |  |  |
| We are investigating to see if the issue is valid or not          |   | 01/06/2021      | Investigating          |  |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur |   | Completion date |                        |  |  |
| This will depend on what the investigation uncovers               |   | To be advised   |                        |  |  |

| Volume information accuracy                                       |   |                 |                        |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Non-compliance  | Description   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Audit Ref: 3.2  | Approximately 30 lamps in Wanaka submitted against the incorrect ICP.   |                 |                        |  |  |
| With: Clause 15.2 and 15.37B(c)                                   | Approximately 30 lamps in Wanaka recorded in two databases and therefore submitted twice resulting in an estimated over submission of approximately 19,818 kWh per annum.                       |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | The database is not confirmed as accurate with a 95% level of confidence with a potential over submission of approximately 11,800 kWh per annum.  |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Newly connected streetlights are included for the whole month and not the date of electrical connection.  |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Potential impact: Medium  |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Actual impact: Medium   |                 |                        |  |  |
|   | Audit history: None   |                 |                        |  |  |
| From: Unknown   | Controls: Moderate  |                 |                        |  |  |
| To: 20-Jan-21   | Breach risk rating: 4   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Audit risk rating   | Rationale for audit risk rating   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Medium  | The controls are rated as moderate as processes to manage change capture most changes.  The impact is assessed to be medium, based on submission inaccuracies indicated by the DUML audit tool. |                 |                        |  |  |
|   |   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue                                |   | Completion date | Remedial action status |  |  |
| We are investigating to see if the issue is valid or not          |   | 01/06/2021      | Investigating          |  |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur |   | Completion date |                        |  |  |
| This will depend on what the investigation uncovers               |   | To be advised   |                        |  |  |