

From: [Stu Innes](#)
To: [Rachel Holden](#); [John Hancock](#)
Subject: Re: December IPAG meeting [EIAut-ELCOMM.FID43809]
Date: Tuesday, 10 November 2020 9:19:27 am
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.gif](#)
[image004.jpg](#)

Hi both,

Thanks for the opportunity on this, but I'm not sure there's much I can add on those questions. However, I think I have two points that are worth making from our experience:

- Transparency is critical. For a party thinking of making an investment decision in DER/Flexibility it's important that they can get ready access to historical data around pricing so that they can take a view on what the future revenue for their investment might be (and eventually, ideally, a forward curve). Transpower seemed to have difficulty providing this, or at least anything that was provided seemed to be a bit of a scramble to pull together as an afterthought, and with all sorts of issues regarding confidentiality and identification of parties through their nodes.. This then feeds the next, more important point.
- Transpower is likely to be a significant player in the market for flexibility (as is any given EDB when it comes to their own footprint). In what is an emerging market for flexibility marketplace platforms and systems, there is a high likelihood that we'll see distortions if large buyers of flexibility are also a potential player in the market platform market.

I'm not sure there'd be value in me presenting to the group on those two points. I'd imagine they've come up before, so probably the value is in having them reiterated above.

Apologies, I'd drafted but not sent this prior to your follow up Rachel.

Stu
Stuart Innes
CEO & Co-founder



stu.innes@emhTrade.com
www.emhtrade.com

Disclaimer:
The information in this electronic mail message is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this Internet electronic mail message by anyone else is unauthorised. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful. emhtrade Ltd accepts no responsibility for any effects this mail message or attachments has on the recipient network or computer system.

On Tue, 3 Nov 2020 at 15:14, Rachel Holden <Rachel.Holden@ea.govt.nz> wrote:

Hi Stu,

I understand John Hancock has spoken to you about presenting to IPAG at its December 1 meeting?

I'm currently putting the agenda together for the meeting and would like to confirm your availability and what we're expecting you to talk about.

Are you available to speak with IPAG at 9:45am on Tuesday 1 December for about 30 minutes? If 9:45am does not suit please indicate what times you are available on that day and we should be able to juggle the agenda to fit you in.

The questions we'd like you to discuss are:

1. How do you think Transpower's DR programme has worked so far?
2. How could Transpower's DR programme work better in the future?
3. Are there any implications and/or learnings from Transpower's DR programme for how EDBs could run flexibility schemes.

You're welcome to prepare slides for the meeting – these can either be provided beforehand for the meeting pack (by Tuesday 24 November) or be provided on the day.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards

Rachel

☐ Rachel Holden

Senior Consultant

Electricity Authority - Te Mana Hiko

Level 7, Harbour Tower, 2 Hunter Street

PO Box 10041

Wellington 6143

New Zealand

www.ea.govt.nz

-



"The information contained in this transmission is confidential.
It is intended for the named addressee only.
If you are not the named addressee you may not copy, distribute or
take any action in reliance upon this transmission."
