Compliance plan for Thames Coromandel DC – November 2018

Deriving submission information				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 2.1 With: Clause 11(1) of	NZTA lighting volume excluded from s submission of 282,745 kWh per annur	ng volume excluded from submission resulting in an estimated under of 282,745 kWh per annum.		
Schedule 15.3	The database accuracy is assessed to be 96.3% indicating potential over submission of 32,300 kWh per annum.			
	Potential impact: High			
From: 01-Jun-17	Actual impact: High			
To: 19-Nov-18	Audit history: Twice			
	Controls: Moderate			
	Breach risk rating: 6			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
High	The controls are rated as moderate, because they are sufficient to ensure that lamp information is correctly recorded most of the time. The impact is assessed to be high, based on the kWh differences described in section 3.1.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status	
NZTA lights are in the process of being allocated a separate ICP and will not form part of the TCDC DUML audit moving forward.		June 2019	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		
As above				

Description and capacity of load			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.4	Four items of load with missing lamp details.		
With: Clause 11(2)(c) &	Potential impact: Low		
(d)of Schedule 15.3	Actual impact: None		
	Audit history: None		
From: 01-Jun-17	Controls: Strong		
To: 19-Nov-18	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are rated as strong as the controls in place mitigate the risk.		
	The impact is assessed to be low as this only four items of load are affected.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
Mercury continues to work with the TCDC contractor to resolve these issues		Ongoing	Investigating
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	

All load recorded in the database				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 2.5	Items of load are missing from the database.			
With: Clause 11(2A) of	Potential impact: Low			
Schedule 15.3	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: Once previously			
From: 01-Jun-17	Controls: Moderate			
To: 19-Nov-18	Breach risk rating: 4			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
Medium	The controls are rated as moderate as the full field audit is underway to correct the historic issues. The impact is assessed to be high, based on the kWh differences detailed in section 3.1.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status	
As indicated by the auditor a field audit is underway. There is a statistically low indication of non-compliance against the sampled installations.		June 19	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		

Database accuracy			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 3.1 With: Clause 15.2 and 15.37B(b)	The database accuracy is assessed to be 96.3% indicating potential over submission of 32,300 kWh per annum. The ballasts are not recorded correctly in the RAMM database. Potential impact: Medium		
From: 01-lun-17	Actual impact: Medium Audit history: Once previously		
To: 19-Nov-18	Controls: Moderate		
	Breach risk rating: 4		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Medium	The controls are rated as moderate as the full field audit is underway to correct the historic issues.		
	The impact is assessed to be medium, based on the kWh differences described above.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
As indicated a full field audit is underway		June 2019	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	

Volume information accuracy				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 3.2 With: Clause 15.2 and	NZTA lighting volume excluded from submission resulting in an estimated under submission of 282,745 kWh per annum.			
15.37B(c)	The database accuracy is assessed to be 96.3% indicating potential over submission of 32,300 kWh per annum.			
	Potential impact: High			
From: 01-Jun-17 To: 19-Nov-18	Actual impact: High			
	Audit history: Three times previously			
	Controls: Moderate			
	Breach risk rating: 6			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
High	The controls are rated as moderate as the full field audit is underway to correct the historic issues. The impact is assessed to be high, based on the kWh differences described in section 3.1.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status	
As indicated this relates to the transition of NZTA away from the database.		June 2019	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		