Compliance plan for NZTA BOP West DUML – 2019]

Distributed unmetered load audits				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 3.2	Audit not completed within 12 months of Part 16A coming into effect.			
Clause 17.295F of part				
17	Potential impact: Low			
	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: None			
From: 01-Jun-18	Controls: Moderate			
To: 28-Feb-19	Breach risk rating: 2			
Audit risk rating	Rationale fo	r audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are rated as moderate as the audit has been undertaken nine months late.			
	The impact is assessed to be low, as this has no direct impact on reconciliation.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action sta				
Database was being validated hence delay in audit.		22 March 19	Investigating	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		
Cooperation with NZTA to be instigated ASAP				

Deriving submission information			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.1 Clause 11(1) of Schedule 15.3	The database accuracy is assessed to be 94.5% indicating an estimated over submission of 14,400 kWh per annum. Two items of load with no ICP recorded.		
3333	Three items of load with zero wattage recorded.		
	Potential impact: High		
From: unknown	Actual impact: Medium		
To: 28-Feb-19	Audit history: None		
	Controls: Weak		
	Breach risk rating: 6		
Audit risk rating	Rationale fo	r audit risk rating	
Medium	The controls are rated as weak as the 16% error rate indicates that tracking of load change management processes are weak.		
	The impact is assessed to be medium, based on the high level of inaccuracy found in the field audit.		
Actions ta	ken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
Two items with no ICP are supplied by Genesis and not applicable to this audit. Clearly identified in database.			Unknown
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	
Will request WestLink remove from Database		31 May 19	

ICP Identifier			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.2	Two items of load with no ICP recorded.		
Clause 11(2)(a) & (aa) of schedule 15.3	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
From: unknown	Controls: Strong		
To: 28-Feb-19	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale fo	r audit risk rating	
Low	The controls are rated as strong, as all but two items of load had an ICP recorded and it is likely these lights no longer exist.		
	The impact is assessed to be low, as this will have a very minor effect on reconciliation if in fact these lights exist.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion date		Remedial action status	
These two lamps are not part of the Audit. Database clearly identifies them as supplied by Genesis.			Disputed
		Completion date	
Will request WestLink remove from Database 31 Ma			

Location of each item of load				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 2.3	Ten items of load with insufficient location details.			
Clause 11(2)(b) of schedule 15.3	Potential impact: Low			
	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: None			
From: unknown	Controls: Moderate			
To: 28-Feb-19	Breach risk rating: 2			
Audit risk rating	Rationale fo	r audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are rated as moderate ar	The controls are rated as moderate and will mitigate risk most of the time.		
	The impact is assessed to be low, as this will have a very minor effect on reconciliation.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion date			Remedial action status	
Will have WESTLINK update locations accordingly.		31 May19	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will Completion date				
Will monitor database updates Ongoing				

Description and capacity of load			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.4	Three items of load with zero wattage recorded.		
Clause 11(2)(c) & (d) of schedule 15.3	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
From: unknown	Controls: Strong		
To: 28-Feb-19	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are rated as strong, as all but three items of load had a valid wattage value recorded.		
	The impact is assessed to be low, as the reconciliation.	nis will have a very	y minor effect on
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action state			Remedial action status
Two items as described in Section 2.2 belong to Genesis and should not be part of this audit. The third item is solar powered and is not included in the reconciliation.			Disputed
Preventative actions to	Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		
All three items will be re	moved from Database	31 May 19	

All load recorded in database			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.5	14 additional light found in the field audit.		
With: Clause 11(2A) of	Potential impact: High		
Schedule 15.3	Actual impact: Medium		
	Audit history: None		
From: unknown	Controls: Weak		
To: 28-Feb-19	Breach risk rating: 6		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Medium	The controls are rated as weak as the 16% error rate indicates that tracking of load change management processes are weak.		
	The impact is assessed to be medium as the error rate indicates a high level of inaccuracy in the database content.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
Trustpower to work with NZTA to assist in updating database in a timely manner.		Ongoing	Investigating
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	

Database accuracy			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 3.1 With: Clause 15.2 and	The database accuracy is assessed to be 94.5% indicating an estimated over submission of 14,400 kWh per annum.		
15.37B(b)	Two items of load with no ICP recorde	d.	
	Three items of load with zero wattage	recorded.	
From: unknown	Potential impact: High		
To: 28-Feb-19	Actual impact: Medium		
	Audit history: None		
	Controls: Weak		
	Breach risk rating: 6		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Medium	The controls are rated as weak as the 16% error rate indicates that tracking of load change management processes are weak.		
	The impact is assessed to be medium, based on the high level of inaccuracy found in the field audit.		
·		Completion date	Remedial action status
Two lamps are supplied by Genesis and not part of this audit. One lamp is solar powered and is not part of the reconciliation process.			Disputed
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will Completion occur date			
All three lamps will be removed from database.		31 May19	

Volume information accuracy			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 3.2	The database accuracy is assessed to be 94.5% indicating an estimated over submission of 14,400 kWh per annum.		
15.37B(c)	Two items of load with no ICP recorde	d.	
	Three items of load with zero wattage	recorded.	
From: unknown	Potential impact: High		
To: 28-Feb-19	Actual impact: Medium		
	Audit history: None		
	Controls: Weak		
	Breach risk rating: 6		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Medium	The controls are rated as weak as the 16% error rate indicates that tracking of load change management processes are weak.		
	The impact is assessed to be medium, based on the high level of inaccuracy found in the field audit.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
Two lamps are supplied by Genesis and not part of this audit. One lamp is solar powered and is not part of the reconciliation process.			Disputed
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will Completion date			
All three lamps will be removed from database. 31 May 19			