Compliance plan for Accucal – 2018

| Registry Notification of Metering Records | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 3.2 | Late registry update for one ICP. | | |
| With: Clause 2 of | Potential impact: Low | | |
| Schedule 11.4 | Actual impact: Low | | |
| | Audit history: None | | |
| From: 31-Aug-18 | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 02-Sep-18 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as strong because they mitigate risk when possible. The only late update was due to late nomination. | | |
| | The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| No comment here as the late nomination was out of our hands. | | N/A | Identified |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| N/A | | N/A | |

| Changes to Registry Records | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 4.10 | Four records updated on the registry later than 10 business days. | | |
| With: Clause 3 of Schedule 11.4 | Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low | | |
| | Audit history: Multiple times | | |
| From: 01-Jun-17 | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 26-Nov-18 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as strong in this area because Accucal has checks in place to identify and resolve discrepancies and the number of late updates was low. | | |
| | The impact on participants, customers or settlement could be minor where a tariff change may be required; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | |

| Actions taken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| In nearly all cases the late updates were due to the MEP manager being on leave. The updates were completed as soon as possible. | As per records | Identified |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | Completion date | |
| Internal training underway to ensure cover for this. | April 2019 | |

| MEP Response to Switch Notification | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 6.1 | One late acceptance. | | |
| With: Clause 1(1) of | Potential impact: Low | | |
| Schedule 11.4 | Actual impact: None | | |
| | Audit history: None | | |
| From: 15-Oct-18 | Controls: Moderate | | |
| To: 17-Oct-18 | Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | |
| | | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| This was a mis-understanding where acceptance was not completed until the metering was commissioned and updated. | | Oct 2018 | Cleared |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Acceptance will be actioned regardless of metering installation stage. | | Nov 2018 | |

| Provision of Registry Information | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 6.2 | One incorrect certification date. | | |
| With: Clause 7 (1), (2) | Potential impact: Low | | |
| and (3) of Schedule 11.4 | Actual impact: Low | | |
| | Audit history: Once | | |
| From: 18-Jul-16 | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 26-Nov-18 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as strong in this area because there was only one error. | | |
| | The impact on participants is minor; therefore the audit risk rating is low | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| Records not updated when installation was re-certified due to generator removal and re-installation. Not updated as expiry date didn't change, hence no impact to any party, except for inspection window. | | 27/11/2018 | Cleared |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| As per flagging system in certification registers, these changes are now flagged to the MEP manager. | | Implemented at last audit | |

| Correction of Errors in Registry | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 6.3 With: Clause 6 of Schedule 11.4 From: 01-Jun-17 To: 26-Nov-18 | Registry validation not conducted for every month of the audit period. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: Once Controls: Weak Breach risk rating: 3 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as weak because they will not mitigate risk most of the time. The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | |

| Actions taken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| An automated process is being developed and is hoped to be fully automated on a monthly basis. Some manual input is however likely to still be required. | By June 2019 | Identified |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | Completion date | |
| Additional staff are to be employed for administrative roles and these staff will be trained in registry matters, therefore ensuring processes are followed in timely fashion. | By June 2019 | |