## Compliance plan for emhTrade Limited

Title: Relevant information			
Non-compliance	Des	cription	
Audit Ref: 2.1 With: Clause 10.6, 11.2, 15.2	211 ICPs on HHE profile have HHR certification, and should have the HHR profile applied. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: Once		
From/to: entire audit period	Controls: Strong Breach risk rating: 21		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	3
Low	Controls are rated as strong overall, because they ensure that data is complete and accurate most of the time. emhTrade are receiving reads for the HHR Metrix meters from the legacy data stream, and had believed that these meters should remain on HHE profiles.  The audit risk rating is low, submission volumes are not affected.		
Actions ta	ken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
Metrix meters. Metrix our requirements and I	rently remain in place for HHR continue to provide data that meets has no material impact. A system ata stream is being scheduled in ive to 11.1 response.	June 2019	Identified
Preventative actions to	aken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
new HHR data feed wh risks of deferring this a existing data feed during our view we have an ef	e urgency of the transition to the en implemented by Metrix and the end elected to continue using the eng the period due to the low risk. In effective management process to end take appropriate action.	NA	

Title: Audit trails			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.4 With: Clause 21 Schedule 15.2	Audit trails do not record the operator identifier for the person who completed the activity; only the emhTrade system user ID writes to the database.		
	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low		
From: 01-Nov-18	Audit history: None		
To: 27-Nov-18	Controls: Strong		
	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	S
Low	The controls are rated as strong and the impact as low.		
	Audit trails are available and contain the required information, but the person who processed the change is not identifiable within the audit trail because there is only one operator identifier.		
	A small number of users have access to the system. For the sample of audit trails reviewed, it was simple to determine which person was responsible for processing the change from supporting information.		
Actions ta	Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status		
not foresee an immedia We acknowledge that s in this regard and these	system improvements can be made e are in our work pipeline but with etion set at this stage due to the low	ТВА	Investigating
Preventative actions to	aken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
Manager role that will	created an Operations Process have direct responsibility for tracking and ensuring appropriate risk based as are made.	November 2018	

Title: Changes to registry information			
Non-compliance	Desc	cription	
Audit Ref: 3.3	One late status update to active.		
With: Clause 10	Three late trader updates.		
Schedule 11.1	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
From: 01-Nov-17	Controls: Moderate		
To: 17-Mar-18	Breach risk rating: 2		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are adequate to ensure that the registry is updated on time most of the time, but there is room for improvement.		
	The risk is low as most updates were completed on time or soon after they were due. The late trader updates checked were found to relate to corrections.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status			Remedial action status
emhTrade had corrected all the exceptions noted.		November 2018	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	
to review "junk" email emhTrade have update	ed the operations procedures so that ted based on the individual ICP	November 2018	

Title: ANZSIC codes	
Non-compliance	Description
Audit Ref: 3.6	Three ICPs had incorrect ANZSIC codes.
With: Clause 9 (1)(k)	Potential impact: Low
of Schedule 11.1	Actual impact: Low
	Audit history: Once
From: 01-Mar-18	Controls: Strong
To: 27-Nov-18	Breach risk rating: 1

Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	Controls are rated as strong as they were sufficient to reduce the risk of incorrect ANZSIC codes most of the time.		
	The audit risk rating is low, as three incorrect ANZSIC codes and no unknown ANZSIC codes were identified.		
Actions ta	taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status		
EMHT will review and correct the current codes. It was identified that ANZSIC codes was based on our customer type and not by ICP type services		March 2019	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	
ensure that correct AN	adjust our onboarding process to ZSIC code is applied based on the ICP al training to be given to operational	March 2019	

Title: Gaining trader informs registry of switch request - switch move			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 4.7 With: Clause 9 Schedule 11.3	One switch move was requested more than two business days after pre conditions were cleared.  Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low Audit history: None		
From: 03-Aug-18 To: 03-Aug-18	Controls: Strong Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are assessed to be strong, NTs are normally requested on time and there are controls in place to detect failed registry updates and re-send the files.		
	The impact is low. One NT file was re	equested one bu	isiness day late.
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status			
EMHT were able to identify the issue and resolve as soon as practical with only one NT file request one day late.  November 2018			

Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date
EMHT have implemented and updated our daily procedures, additional training has been given to operational staff.	December 2018

Title: Losing trader provides information - switch move			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 4.8 With: Clause 10(1)	One AN had a proposed transfer date earlier than the gaining trader's proposed date.		
Schedule 11.3	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
From: 15-Apr-18	Controls: Strong		
To: 15-Dec-18	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	g
Low	Controls are rated as strong, as they are sufficient to ensure that AN content is compliant most of the time.		
	The impact is assessed to be low. One non-compliant AN date was identified, and the switch was subsequently withdrawn.		
Actions ta	Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status		
This arose from operator manual keying error and was a single event. Operators have been advised to carefully validate the date as it is not validated within the system (at emhTrade or the Registry).  EMHT remedied the switch as a correction after the issue was advised to use.		November 2018	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	
emhTrade have implemented and updated our daily procedures to validate our event dates, additional training has been given to operational staff.  Management will monitor for repeat exceptions and take further action if multiple instances occur.		December 2018	

Title: Gaining trader to advise the registry manager - gaining trader switch			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 4.14	One HH CS file was late.		
With: Clause 16	Potential impact: Low		
Schedule 11.3	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
From: 19-Jan-18	Controls: Strong		
To: 19-Jan-18	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	g
Low	The controls are rated as strong and the impact as low. This was an isolated incident because emhTrade could not obtain meter data, and the switch was completed as soon as the data was received.		
	emhTrade intends to send future HH	CS files on time	
Actions ta	Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status		
	e required data, emhTrade esolving this specific exception	November 2018	Identified
Preventative actions to	aken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
submission of HH CS file actions to process thes	dated to ensure the timely es and take additional/ alternative e files eg; estimation, notify other frame to provide necessary data, egree on actions	November 2018 & ongoing	

Title: Buying and selling notifications			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 11.1 With: Clause 15.3	No trading notification was provided for HHE profile for HEP0331, or the HEM profile for HWB1101 or MTM0331.		
	Potential impact: None		
	Actual impact: None		
From: 16-Jan-18	Audit history: None		
To: 14-Aug-18	Controls: Strong		
	Breach risk rating: 1		

Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	There is no impact. The reconciliation manager's system recorded the profile correctly, and the notification process does not allow the trader to enter the profile.		
Actions ta	caken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status		
The profile is recorded correctly on the reconciliation manager's system so no further action is required.		November 2018	Investigating
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	
emhTrade cannot prev	tion only allows HHR or NHH, ent this technical non-compliance. cticality of notifying RM by email of EM trade profiles.	February 2019	

Title: Calculation of ICP days			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 11.2 With: Clause 15.6	Inaccurate ICP days were reported for a small number of ICPs. Corrected data has been washed up, and processes have been corrected.		
With Clause 15.0	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low		
Fram: 01 Apr 10	Audit history: None		
From: 01-Apr-18	Controls: Strong		
To: 16-Nov-18	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	Controls are currently rated as strong as they are sufficient to ensure that ICPs with installation type G or decommissioned status are not included in the ICP days calculation, and that new meters without a transfer date are identified.		
	The impact is assessed to be low, as updated data will be provided through the revision process.		
Actions ta	Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status		
emhTrade had corrected a system error related to the ICPs that reported incorrect days and produced a wash up of the correction. EMHT has taken actions to prevent any further issues arising.  November 2018		Identified	

Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date
emhTrade have implemented a system upgrade to only include installation types B and L. Operational staff have also been reminded of these changes.	November 2018

Title: HHR aggregates information provision to the reconciliation manager			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 11.4 With: Clause 15.8	Alleged breach 1810EMHL1 was recorded for late provision of September 2018 initial submission information.  HHR aggregates file does not contain electricity supplied information.		
	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: Twice		
	Controls: Strong		
From: entire audit period	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	Controls are rated as strong and the risk as low, because submissions are normally completed on time. The alleged breach is currently being investigated and the outcome will be decided by the Compliance Committee. It is likely that the alleged breach had no market impact. Steps have been taken to prevent recurrence.  The issue relating to content of the aggregates file is an error in the code, emhTrade is providing submission information as expected.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
The data was submitted late on the reporting date following notification by the reconciliation manager and internal verification of the issue.		Sep 2018	Identified
Preventative actions to	aken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
reconciliation submission operations process man updating our key KPIs t	onfirmation process for BD4 & BD13 ons. Remedial actions include a new nagement function tasked with o ensure compliance, effective from refresh for Operations staff on the nely submission.	January 2019	

Title: Creation of submission information				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 12.2 With: Clause 15.4	Alleged breach 1810EMHL1 was recorded for late provision of September 2018 initial submission information.			
With Clause 13.4	Potential impact: Low			
	Actual impact: Low			
5	Audit history: None			
From: 01-Sep-18	Controls: Strong			
To: 30-Sep-18	Breach risk rating: 1			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
Low	Controls are rated as strong and the risk as low, because submissions are normally completed on time. The alleged breach is currently being investigated and the outcome will be decided by the Compliance Committee. It is likely that the alleged breach had no market impact. Steps have been taken to prevent recurrence.			
Actions ta	ken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status	
As per comments noted on Audit Ref: 11.4 With: Clause 15.8		See 11.4	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		
As per comments noted on Audit Ref: 11.4 With: Clause 15.8		See 11.4		

Title: Accuracy of submission information		
Non-compliance	Description	
Audit Ref: 12.7 With: Clause 15.12	211 ICPs were assigned the HHE profile for periods when HHR certified metering was installed.  Inaccurate ICP days were reported for a small number of ICPs. Corrected data has been washed up, and processes have been corrected.	
From: 01-Dec-17 To: 27-Nov-18	Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: None Controls: Strong Breach risk rating: 1	

Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	Controls are rated as strong as they are sufficient to ensure that submission data is accurate most of the time.		
	The audit risk rating is low volumes submitted were correct and submission information can be corrected washed up through the revision process.		
Actions ta	ken to resolve the issue	Completion	Remedial action

Actions taken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
Both were resolved with our below response also noted within the report. (inclusive) Audit Ref: 12.7. Refer to response in section 2.1 Relevant information (Clause 10.6, 11.2, 15.2) Audit Ref: 11.2 With: Clause 15.6 Refer to response in section 11.2	As per section 2.1 and 11.2	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
Audit Ref: 12.7. Refer to response in section 2.1 Relevant information (Clause 10.6, 11.2, 15.2) Audit Ref: 11.2 With: Clause 15.6 Refer to response in section 11.2	As per above	