## Compliance plan for Mackenzie DC (CTCT) – 2018

Deriving submission information			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.1	Inaccurate information in the database used for submission calculation		
With: Clauses 11(1) of Schedule 15.3	<ul> <li>1 lamp type and wattage error, section 2.4, estimated over submission of 43 kWh per annum.</li> </ul>		
• 6 additional lamps in the field and 2 lam  2.5, estimated over submission of 794 k			•
To: 30-Apr-18	Potential impact: Low		
,	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
	Controls: Strong		
	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	
Low	The controls are rated as strong because there were very few incorrect lamps identified.		
	The impact is low; the expected wattage difference is under 900 kWh per annum.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
Contact will work with MDC's agent Timaru DC to get the database updated with the correct values and attributes.  Timaru DC have historically been very proactive in correcting issues once identified and we would expect a relatively quick resolution time		June 2018	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	

Description and capacity of load			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.4 With: Clauses 11(2)(c) and (d) of Schedule 15.3 From: Unknown To: 30-Apr-18	There is one incorrect lamp type and wattage value in the database. There is one lamp affected with an estimated over submission of 4.3 kWh per annum.  Potential impact: Low  Actual impact: Low  Audit history: None  Controls: Strong		
Audit risk rating	Breach risk rating: 1		
Low	Rationale for audit risk rating  The controls are rated as strong because only one of the 60 lamps in the database had incorrect type and wattage information.		
	The impact is low; the expected wattage difference is 4.3 kWh per annum.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion date		Remedial action status	
Contact will work with MDC's agent Timaru DC to get the database updated with the correct values and attributes.  Timaru DC have historically been very proactive in correcting issues once identified and we would expect a relatively quick resolution time		June 2018	Identified

Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur

Completion date

All load recorded in database			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.5 With: Clause 11(2A) of Schedule 15.3	Six additional L33 LED lamps were found in the field for an estimated under submission of 794.4 kWh per annum.  Potential impact: Low  Actual impact: Low  Audit history: None		
From: Unknown	Controls: Moderate		
To: 30-Apr-18	Breach risk rating: 2		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are rated as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but some errors still occur  The impact is low based on the annual kWh difference		
·		Remedial action status	

Contact will work with MDC's agent Timaru DC to get the database updated with the correct values and attributes. Timaru DC have historically been very proactive in correcting issues once identified and we would expect a relatively quick resolution time	June 2018	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	

Tracking of load changes			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.6	Some lamps not recorded in the database		
With: Clause 11(3) of	Potential impact: Medium		
Schedule 15.3	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
From: Unknown	Controls: Moderate		
To: 30-Apr-18	Breach risk rating: 2		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	
Low	The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement.		
	The impact on settlement and participa is low.	nts is minor; there	efore the audit risk rating
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
Contact will work with MDC's agent Timaru DC to get the database updated with the correct values and attributes.  Timaru DC have historically been very proactive in correcting issues once identified and we would expect a relatively quick resolution time		June 2018	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	

Database accuracy			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 3.1 With: Clause 15.2 and	Database checks found one lamp with incorrect wattage information. Resulting in estimated over submission of 4.3 kWh per annum.		
15.37B(b)	The field audit found eight lamp type and wattage differences. The field data was 108.6% of the database data for the sample checked, resulting in estimated under submission of 794.4 kWh per annum.		
From: Unknown	Potential impact: Low		
To: 30-Apr-18	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
Controls: Moderate			
	Breach risk rating: 2		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are rated as moderate because of the number of incorrect lamp type and wattage differences.  The impact is low, as the expected nett wattage difference is less than 1,000 kWh per annum.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
Contact will work with MDC's agent Timaru DC to get the database updated with the correct values and attributes. Timaru DC have historically been very proactive in correcting issues once identified and we would expect a relatively quick resolution time		June 2018	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	

Volume information accuracy			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 3.2 With: Clauses 15.2 and 15.37B(c)	<ul> <li>Inaccurate information in the database used for submission calculation</li> <li>1 lamp type and wattage error, section 2.4, estimated over submission of 43 kWh per annum.</li> </ul>		
From: Unknown To: 30-Apr-18	<ul> <li>6 additional lamps in the field and 2 lamp wattage differences, section</li> <li>2.5, estimated over submission of 794 kWh per annum.</li> </ul>		
	Potential impact: Low  Actual impact: Low  Audit history: None  Controls: Strong		
	Breach risk rating: 1		

Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are rated as strong because there were very few incorrect lamps identified.  The impact is low; the expected wattage difference is under 900 kWh per annum.		
Actions ta	ken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
Contact will work with MDC's agent Timaru DC to get the database updated with the correct values and attributes.  Timaru DC have historically been very proactive in correcting issues once identified and we would expect a relatively quick resolution time		June 2018	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	