Compliance plan for Electricity Ashburton Limited – 2018

Requirement to provide complete and accurate information				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 2.1 With: 11.2(1) and	Not all practicable steps are taken to ensure that the information provided is complete and accurate.			
10.6(1)	Potential impact: Low	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low			
From: 01-Sep-17	Audit history: Multiple times			
To: 31-Mar-18	Controls: Moderate			
	Breach risk rating: 2			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
Low	The registry discrepancies processes are robust in most cases and improvements have been made since the last audit, but some areas still require improvement, therefore the controls are rated as moderate.			
	All of the areas listed above have a minor impact on settlement or participants, therefore the audit risk rating is low.			
Actions ta	Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action status date			
Please see relevant section for action taken to resolve issue. 7 th May 2019 Identified				
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will Completion date				
Please see relevant section for Preventative actions taken. 24 th May 2018				

Timeliness of Provision of ICP Information to the registry manager		
Non-compliance	Description	
Audit Ref: 3.4	Registry not updated prior to commencement of trading for 5 ICPs.	
With: Clause 7(2) of	Potential impact: Low	
Schedule 11.1	Actual impact: Low	
	Audit history: None	
From: 01-Jun-17	Controls: Strong	
To: 27-Sep-17	Breach risk rating: 1	
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating	

Low	Controls are rated as strong because most registry updates occurred on time and these were not "traditional" newly created ICPs. The audit risk rating is low because a very small number of late updates occurred and consumption information will be revised by retailers.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
All five ICPs were created with early Start Dates at the request of Trader.		7 th May 2018	Cleared
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	
		7 th May 2018	

Timeliness of Provision of Initial Electrical Connection Date				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 3.5	Late population of the initial electrical connection date for 10 ICPs.			
With: Clause 7(2A) of	Potential impact: Low			
Schedule 11.1	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: Once			
From: 25-Apr-17	Controls: Strong			
To: 16-Nov-17	Breach risk rating: 1	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
Low	Controls are rated as strong at the time of the audit. There have been no late updates since November 2017 and sound reporting is in place.			
	This field is used as a source of validation for Active and certification dates, there is no impact on settlement but there is a minor impact on participants who use this field in their validation processes. The audit risk rating is low.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action stat date		Remedial action status		
See Section 3.4, otherwis	See Section 3.4, otherwise issue is resolved 7 th May 2018 Cleared			
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will Completion date				
Reporting in place. 7 th May 2018				

Management of "new" status				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 3.13	362 ICPs incorrectly recorded as New.	362 ICPs incorrectly recorded as New.		
With: Clause 13				
Schedule 11.1	Actual impact: None			
	Audit history: Multiple times			
From: 01-Sep-17	Controls: Weak			
To: 03-May-18	Breach risk rating: 3			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	r audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are recorded as weak because progress on the resolution for the 362 has only recently commenced.			
	There is no impact on settlement because the metered load is attributed to the associated Active ICPs for the relevant properties. Settlement is occurring and switching can occur. The impact once the issue is resolved is that some customers will have additional line charges. The audit risk rating is recorded as low.			
Actions ta	iken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status	
Initial work has been completed to gather information on associated traded ICPs, working with the Inspectors to initiate site visits, and notify affected Customers and Traders.		7 th May 2019	Identified	
Preventative actions t	Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur			
These ICPs are historical a prevents this from happe	and our current process for new ICPs ning.	7 th May 2018		

Changes to registry information		
Non-compliance	Description	
Audit Ref: 4.1	Some price, network, and address changes were backdated.	
With: Clause 8	Potential impact: Low	
Schedule 11.1	Actual impact: Low	
	Audit history: Multiple times	
From: 01-Sep-17	Controls: Moderate	
To: 31-Mar-18	Breach risk rating: 2	
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating	

Low	Controls are rated as moderate because they are not sufficient to ensure that the registry is updated within three business days most of the time. The risk rating is low, because most of the delayed updates were processed within 30 days. Based on the sample checked, the later updates appear to be mostly due to late notification.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion date Remedial action status			
New Connection process has been altered so that initial event 24 th May 2018 Identified dates are set to actual creation date.			Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will Completion date			
Updates to existing ICP's Events will use Events Date when the change occurred where appropriate.		24 th May 2018	

Notice of NSP for each ICP			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 4.2	At least five ICPs with an incorrect NSP	recorded.	
With: Clauses 7(1),(4)	Potential impact: Low		
and (5) Schedule 11.1	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: Once		
From: 01-Sep-17	Controls: Moderate		
To: 31-Mar-18	Breach risk rating:2		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	r audit risk rating	
Low	The controls are rated as moderate because most ICP to NSP relationships are now managed. There are 141 ICPs requiring further analysis.		
	The audit risk rating is low because both relevant NSPs are in the same balancing area, thus reducing the impact on settlement.		
Actions ta	Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action state		
A task to address this has been created. This task is in the process of getting assigned to our Inspectors who will be tasked with collecting the required info and reporting back to the Drawing Office where the GIS will be updated.		8 th August 2018	Identified
Preventative actions t	aken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
	and our current process for new ICP's allowing for this to happen.	23 rd May 2018	

Distributors to Provide ICP Information to the Registry manager				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 4.6	Some DG and IECD discrepancies found	Some DG and IECD discrepancies found		
With: Clause 7(1)(m) &	Potential impact: Low			
(p) Schedule 11.1	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: None			
From: 01-Sep-17	Controls: Strong			
To: 31-Mar-18	Breach risk rating: 1			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
Low	The controls are rated as strong because they mitigate risk to an acceptable level. The audit risk rating is recorded as low because the overall number of variances is			
	low and the impact is negligible.			
Actions ta	Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action status date			
Generation and initial Electrical Connection Date Errors have 22 nd May 2018 Cleared been amended.			Cleared	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur date				
Better care will be taken in entering data. 22 nd May 2018				

Provision of information to registry after the trading of electricity at the ICP commences			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 4.7 With: Clause 7(3) Schedule 11.1 From: 25-Apr-17 To: 16-Nov-17	Three backdated Price Category codes for new connections. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: None Audit history: None Controls: Strong Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are recorded as strong because they mitigate risk to an acceptable level. The three examples identified were not traditional new connections. There is no impact on settlement or participants; therefore the audit risk rating is low.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action status date			

The three ICPs were entered with a back dated initial Electrical Connection Date at the request of the Trader.	22/05/2018	Cleared
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
	22/05/2018	

Creation of loss factors				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 8.1	Loss factors are not accurate in relation	to reconciliation	losses.	
With: Clause 11.2	Potential impact: Medium	Potential impact: Medium		
	Actual impact: Medium			
From: 01-Sep-17	Audit history: None			
To: 31-Mar-18	Controls: Weak			
	Breach risk rating: 6			
Audit risk rating	Rationale fo	r audit risk rating		
Medium	The controls are recorded as weak because loss factors are not changed to reflect reconciliation losses.			
	UFE is allocated to participants; therefore there is no adverse impact on settlement; however retailers may use published losses in pricing decisions, therefore the use of inaccurate loss factors could lead to incorrect pricing, which is considered a moderate impact.			
Actions ta	Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action state			
A review of Loss factors will be carried out and Loss factors will be amended where appropriate.		7 th May 2019	Identified	
Preventative actions t	Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur			
Annual review of Loss fac	tors will be carried as part of Pricing.	7 th May 2019		