Compliance plan for EDC Holdings Limited

Title: Timeliness of Provision of Initial Electrical Connection Date			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 3.5 With: Clause 7(2A) of	37 new connections did not have an initial energisation date populated within 10 business days of energisation.		
Schedule 11.1	Potential impact: Low		
	Actual impact: Low		
	Audit history: None		
From: 01-Mar-17	Controls: Moderate		
To: 17-May-17	Breach risk rating: 2		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	3
Low	energisation dates are updated on ti often sent to the retailer first, then p delays in updating the initial energisa	crols are rated as moderate as they are sufficient to ensure that initial gisation dates are updated on time most of the time. Paperwork is a sent to the retailer first, then passed to TENCO, which can cause ys in updating the initial energisation date. Impact is low because all initial energisation dates were updated within usiness days of connection.	
Actions ta	ken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
have followed up with business days from the	s lateness can't be resolved. We Genesis Energy within the 10 meter installs and they haven't aperwork within 10 business days of	28/02/2018	Identified
Preventative actions to	aken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
one of the network so	up with Energy Retailers from day they have time to follow up with k and process it in the registry.	28/02/2018	

Title: Changes to registry information				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 4.1	Five late status updates.			
With: Clause 8	Potential impact: Low			
Schedule 11.1	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: Twice previously			
From: 01-Sep-16	Controls: Strong			
To: 28-Sep-16	Breach risk rating: 1			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	B	
Low	Controls are rated as strong, as they are sufficient to ensure updates are on time most of the time. In all cases, the late update was caused by the building owner's request to keep the connection in case a new tenant moved in. The risk is low as a small number of ICPs are affected, and the registry was updated very soon after TENCO confirmed that the ICPs should be decommissioned.			
Actions ta	ken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status	
connection for those IC	s lateness can't be resolved. The Ps have been delayed multiple times checking on those if they were still	28/02/2018	Identified	
Preventative actions to	aken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date		
No ICPs will be issued f livened in the near futu	or connections that don't need to be ire.	28/02/2018		

Title: ICP location add	ress
Non-compliance	Description
Audit Ref: 4.4 With: Clause 2 Schedule 11.1	The list file showed eight duplicate addresses, which have now been corrected to be unique. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low
From: 24-Apr-17 To: 09-Nov-17	Audit history: Twice previously Controls: Strong
	Breach risk rating: 1

Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	Controls are rated as strong, as they are sufficient to ensure addresses are unique most of the time. The duplicate addresses were entered at the retailer's request.		
	The risk is low as a small number of ICPs are affected, and the registry has been updated.		
Actions ta	ken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
supposed to be resolve	aised prior to audit and was ed prior to audit, but Genesis Energy ate and advise the correct addresses.	28/02/2018	Cleared
Preventative actions t	aken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	

28/02/2018

The process for checking duplicate addresses is in place and

this was supposed to be resolved prior to audit.

Title: Notice of supporting embedded network NSP information			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 6.4 With: Clause 26(4) Schedule 11.1	An LE ICP number was not provided at least one month before network creation for NSP EHS0011. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: None		
From: 01-Nov-16	Controls: Strong		
To: 02-Nov-16	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are strong, because if other participants complied with the timeframes to create ICPs they would be sufficient to ensure that the LE ICP is provided on time.		
	The impact is low because the LE ICP was provided before network creation, and all other required information was provided on time.		
Actions ta	aken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date status		
· •	RM 27 days prior to livening of the hasn't been previously audited.		

Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date
We now have the process in place to make sure ICPs are provided to RM one month in advance.	28/02/2018