1. Format for submissions

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Number | Question | Response |
| Q1 | Do you agree that the Authority has characterised the problem of WIBR correctly? If not, how could the problem be better described? |  |
| Q2 | Do you agree that these four options are an appropriate shortlist? If not, are there other options that should be considered? |  |
| Q3 | Do you agree that the four options in Table 2 need not be considered at this stage? If not, which of them should be considered and why and what other options should be considered and why? |  |
| Q4 | Do you agree that the two-node hybrid option has been characterised correctly? If not, how could it be better described? |  |
| Q5 | Do you agree that the three-node FTR option has been characterised correctly? If not, how could it be better described? |  |
| Q6 | Do you agree that the three-node hybrid option has been characterised correctly? If not, how could it be better described? |  |
| Q7 | Do you agree that the multi-node FTR option has been characterised correctly? If not, how could it be better described? |  |
| Q8 | Do you agree that all four high-level options are feasible? If not, why not |  |
| Q9 | Do you agree that all four options would avoid distortion to price signals? If not, why not? |  |
| Q10 | Do you agree that the criteria in Table 7 are reasonable and roughly equal in priority? If not, why not? Should other criteria relating to competition, reliability or efficiency be considered? |  |
| Q11 | Do you agree that the multi-point FTR would promote the Authority’s statutory objective most effectively? If not, why not, and which option do you think would most support the statutory objective? |  |
| Q12 | Do you agree that the multi-point FTR would produce a greater net benefit than any of the other options? If not, why not, and which option do you consider would produce the greatest net benefit? |  |
| Q13 | If the decision is to proceed with the multi-point FTR, which FTR points do you consider should be added at this point, and why? |  |
| Q14 | Do you agree that, if the decision is to proceed with the multi-point FTR, the new FTR points should generally be nodes rather than hubs? If not, why not? |  |
| Q15 | Do you agree that, if the decision is to proceed with the multi-point FTR, the new FTRs should be point-to-point rather than radial? If not, why not? |  |
| Q16 | Do you agree that, if the decision is to proceed with the multi-point FTR, the new FTR products should include a full selection of options and obligations? If not, why not? |  |
| Q17 | Do you agree that, if the decision is to proceed with the multi-point FTR, the Authority should proceed according to the roadmap set out in Figure 7? If not, how should the Authority proceed? |  |
| Q18 | Do you agree that, if the decision is to proceed with the multi-point FTR, the Authority should develop objective criteria for adding and removing FTR nodes in future years? What should be taken into account in developing these criteria? |  |