Distribution Pricing Issues

Nigel Williams - Mercury Energy (a division of Mighty River Power)

Oct 2009



Problem Definition – Why are we here?

Current level of competition is considered by some parties to be unsatisfactory

Retailers want to attract new customers and contain costs to improve the value equation for customers

The existence of 29 Networks (52 incl embedded) drives a breakdown of approach across the industry to the network level.

Why do some areas have more retailers active than others?

 Not all customers are attractive to all retailers for a variety of reasons (not just Distributor pricing issues)



Considerations for entering a region

General (for any new region) Regionally targeted acquisition costs Scale of the target customer group Market pricing and returns Meter reading Variety of metering configurations



Different Pricing Drivers

Distributors

Retailers

Want to influence consumer behaviour

Maximise efficient use of infrastructure investment

Longer term return focus

Large upfront investment costs but captive market

Want to accommodate consumer behaviours

Attract and retain customers

Shorter term return focus

Competition means returns are uncertain



Distributor Pricing Issues

Certainty of cost by ICP

Consumers (and Retailers) want price certainty

Issue – prices that are set retrospectively or significant costs that can not be quantified until "after the fact"

Retailer bears price risk

Discourages new entrants that don't have large existing base to spread risk Retailers invent ways to estimate network pricing distorts intended network pricing signal

Adds complexity =
cost and
discourages new
entrants

Distributor Pricing Issues

Eliminate unnecessary complexity

Influencing consumer behaviour is difficult

Conflict
between
avoiding
cross
subsidisation
vs complexity
that
increases
overall costs
beyond
benefits

Do pricing signals work?

Day/Night
Summer/
Winter

Does there need to be a price for every metering configuration that exists in the network?

What is the point where the realistic positive value return falls below the cost to administer?

Retain ability
to innovate
and test
relevance of
complexity on
a case by
case basis

Distributor Pricing Issues

Smaller administration items "Domestic" defintions pricing vs Tidy up invoicing Cease network regulations & and standardise charges for Tidy up pricing Tidy up approach guidelines. disconnected to loss factors data exchange to notifications Adopt a pragmatic, EIEP1 vacant premises consumer focussed attitude to exceptions

Concluding Remarks

Consumers pay for everything ultimately

Low engagement product

Consumers do not naturally want to engage in complexity

If we want to use pricing to drive behaviours then need to find what consumers see as valuable

Retail competition will not be changed solely by Distributor pricing changes – but not a reason to do nothing in this space

